

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/707,055	Applicant(s) CARLSSON, SVEN-AKE	
	Examiner Roger L Pang	Art Unit 3681	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Roger L Pang.

(3) _____.

 (2) Tracy Druce.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 January 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

an examiner's amendment was agreed upon which would shorten the abstrat and correct a possible 35 USC 112, second paragraph problem. Applicant also faxed in the copy of the PCT for the examiner to review.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ROGER PANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)